

SLEEPJ, 2019, 1–13

doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsz031 Advance Access Publication Date: 5 February 2019 Original Article

Original Article

Genetic lesioning of histamine neurons increases sleep–wake fragmentation and reveals their contribution to modafinil-induced wakefulness

Xiao Yu¹, Ying Ma¹, Edward C. Harding^{1,}, Raquel Yustos¹, Alexei L. Vyssotski², Nicholas P. Franks^{1,3,*} and William Wisden^{1,3,*,}

¹Department of Life Sciences, ²Institute of Neuroinformatics, University of Zürich/ETH Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057, Zürich, Switzerland, and ³UK Dementia Research Institute at Imperial College London, UK

*Corresponding author. Nicholas P. Franks or William Wisden, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. Email: w.wisden@imperial.ac.uk; n.franks@imperial.ac.uk.

Abstract

Acute chemogenetic inhibition of histamine (HA) neurons in adult mice induced nonrapid eye movement (NREM) sleep with an increased delta power. By contrast, selective genetic lesioning of HA neurons with caspase in adult mice exhibited a normal sleep–wake cycle overall, except at the diurnal start of the lights-off period, when they remained sleepier. The amount of time spent in NREM sleep and in the wake state in mice with lesioned HA neurons was unchanged over 24 hr, but the sleep–wake cycle was more fragmented. Both the delayed increase in wakefulness at the start of the night and the sleep–wake fragmentation are similar phenotypes to histidine decarboxylase knockout mice, which cannot synthesize HA. Chronic loss of HA neurons did not affect sleep homeostasis after sleep deprivation. However, the chronic loss of HA neurons or chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons did notably reduce the ability of the wake-promoting compound modafinil to sustain wakefulness. Thus, part of modafinil's wake-promoting actions arise through the HA system.

Statement of Significance

Different ways of manipulating histamine neurons in mice, short term with chemogenetics and long term with selective lesioning, give different effects. Short-term inhibition of histamine neurons produces nonrapid eye movement sleep. The long-term effects of killing them, on the other hand, produces a milder phenotype, with increased sleep–wake fragmentation. Both approaches underline the importance of the histamine system for generating arousal. The mice with lesions or acute inhibition of histamine neurons allowed us to test the site of action of modafinil, a popular drug to boost wakefulness and cognition. But the places where modafinil operates in the brain have proven difficult to localize. We show that modafinil requires histamine neurons for part of its wake-promoting effect.

Key words: histamine; histidine decarboxylase; modafinil; tuberomammillary nucleus; caspase; lesioning; chemogenetics; wakefulness; NREM sleep

Submitted: 1 August, 2018; Revised: 22 January, 2019

© Sleep Research Society 2019. Published by Oxford University Press [on behalf of the Sleep Research Society]. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The neuromodulator histamine (HA), whose neurons are located in the tuberomammillary nucleus (TMN) of the posterior hypothalamus, promotes wakefulness [1-6]. This statement is supported by extensive evidence: HA neurons are selectively wake-active [7, 8]; HA levels positively correlate with wakefulness [9]; H1 receptor inverse agonists promote nonrapid eye movement (NREM) sleep [10-12]; GABA, -receptorpositive allosteric modulators, such as the sleeping medication zolpidem, increase inhibition onto HA neurons and reduce the latency to NREM sleep [13]; optogenetic activation of GABAergic axons from the preoptic area in the TMN induces NREM sleep [14]; and acute optogenetic silencing of HA neurons also induces NREM sleep [15]. Conversely, acute chemogenetic stimulation of HA neurons produces increased movement and arousal [16]. Similarly, H3 receptor inverse agonists (e.g. pitolisant) increase wakefulness and promote insomnia [17, 18] by acutely driving up brain levels of HA and other amines. This effect can be used clinically to counteract daytime sleepiness in narcolepsy and other hypersomnia disorders [19].

Another compound that enhances wakefulness, but whose mechanism of action is less clear, is modafinil. Modafinil, as first recognized by Jouvet and colleagues [20, 21], is an intensively wake-promoting substance with surprisingly few adverse effects [22]. Under controlled laboratory conditions, for example, human subjects given modafinil can stay continuously awake for 2 days and one night (40 hr) [23]. The drug is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for counteracting daytime sleepiness during narcolepsy [22, 24], shift-work sleep disorder, and obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome [22]. Modafinil is sometimes used by service personnel, and unofficially taken (e.g. by students) for cognitive enhancement [25].

We still do not have a full understanding of how modafinil promotes wakefulness. Because modafinil cannot promote wakefulness in dopamine transporter (DAT) knockout (ko) mice [26], this seems convincing evidence that the dopamine transporter (DAT) [26-28] is critical for modafinil's action. Modafinil antagonizes the DAT transporter and promotes a rise in dopamine levels in the basal ganglia and noradrenaline levels in the prefrontal cortex [27]. Mice with disruptions of their D1 and D2 receptor genes are insensitive to modafinil [29]. Further evidence that modafinil requires dopamine for its effects comes from humans who are homozygotes for a version of the geneencoding catechol-O-methyltransferase that is less effective in degrading dopamine [23]. In these subjects, modafinil does not enhance wakefulness, presumably because these subjects already have high levels of dopamine [23]. Additionally, modafinil increases serotonin and HA levels in the neocortex [22] and HA levels in the anterior hypothalamus [30]. However, with all these changes, and even if the initial changes are produced by modafinil acting at the dopamine transporter, there is a complicated circle of cause and effect, and it is still unclear how modafinil works at the circuit level [27].

As seen by c-Fos expression, modafinil causes widespread excitation throughout the rodent brain, although certain nuclei such as the preoptic hypothalamic area do not show increases in c-Fos expression [31]. In cats, modafinil, immediately after administration, produced c-Fos expression mainly restricted to the anterior hypothalamus, with little expression anywhere else in the brain [32]. A few attempts at lesioning discrete brain regions have been used to try and locate a specific brain nucleus involved in modafinil's actions. From this approach, modafinil does not appear to work by counteracting the sleeppromoting circuitry of the preoptic hypothalamus. Lesions of the ventrolateral preoptic area, whilst increasing wakefulness, have no effect on modafinil's ability to further promote wakefulness [33]. It was also suggested that modafinil acts through the nucleus accumbens core [34]. Nucleus accumbens core lesions substantially increased the amount of time mice were awake, but modafinil did not increase wakefulness above this higher baseline level [34]. However, it could well be that capacity for arousal was already saturated in these accumbens-lesioned mice, so it is unclear if modafinil could have increased it further.

Giving modafinil systemically to mice excites orexin and HA neurons as evidenced by c-Fos expression [35, 36]. Thus, there is a possibility that some of modafinil's effects are through the HA or orexin system, in addition to the dopamine system. Lesions of orexin neurons, however, actually increased the sensitivity of mice to modafinil [31]. This still leaves open the possibility of HA's involvement. However, low doses of modafinil can still promote wakefulness in mice with no HA production (i.e. *hdc* ko mice) [37], suggesting no direct involvement by HA. Nevertheless, some HA neurons also corelease GABA and possibly dopamine [16, 38]. Thus, the *hdc* ko mice will leave still functional "histamine" neurons that could release other substances.

In this paper, we use mice with specific genetic lesions of their HA neurons to examine first how this lesion affects baseline (chronic) sleep-wake behavior, and second how it affects modafinil's arousal-promoting abilities.

Methods

Mice

Experiments were performed in accordance with the UK Home Office Animal Procedures Act (1986); all procedures were approved by the Imperial College Ethical Review Committee. The mouse line used, HDC-ires-Cre (JAX labs Stock 021198), predominantly a C57/BL6J background was generated in our laboratory and described previously [39]. All mice used in the experiments were adult male. Mice were maintained on a reversed 12:12 hr light:dark cycle at constant temperature and humidity with ad libitum food and water.

Adeno-associated virus transgene plasmids

Plasmid pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry and pAAV-hSyn-DIOmCherry was a gift from Bryan L. Roth (Addgene plasmid 44362 and 50459) [40]. Plasmid pAAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEVp was a gift from Nirao Shah and Jim Wells (Addgene plasmid 45580) [41]. Both transgenes have a double-floxed reading frame in an inverted orientation ("DIO") and therefore can only be activated by Cre recombinase.

Adeno-associated virus preparation, stereotaxic injections, and implantation of EEG/EMG electrodes

To produce AAV1/2, the adenovirus helper plasmid $pF\Delta 6$ and the adeno-associated virus (AAV) helper plasmids pH21 (AAV1),

pRVI (AAV2), and the pAAV transgene plasmids (pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry, pAAV-hSyn-DIO-mCherry, or pAAV-DIOtaCasp3-TEVp) were co-transfected into HEK293 cells and the subsequent AAV particles harvested on heparin columns, as described previously [42]. Virus was bilaterally injected into the brain at a rate of 10 nL per 1 min, 100 nL for each side for the TMN injections. The AAV was injected through stainlesssteel needles with Hamilton microliter #701 10 µL syringes. The injection coordinates were TMN: ML (-0.92 mm), AP (-2.70 mm), DV (-5.34 mm); ML (0.92 mm), AP (-2.70 mm), DV (-5.34 mm). After injection, the cannula was left at the injection site for 5 min and then pulled out. After injections, mice were implanted with three gold-plated miniature screw electrodes (-1.5 mm Bregma, +1.5 mm midline; +1.5 mm Bregma, -1.5 mm midline; -1 mm Lambda, 0 mm midline-reference electrode) with two EMG wires (AS634, Cooner Wire, CA) inserted into the neck The platform for the Neurologger was affixed to the skull with Orthodontic Resin power and Orthodontic resin liquid (Tocdental, UK). Mice were allowed at least 4 weeks for recovery after the surgery.

EEG analysis and sleep-wake behavior

Two days before the recording, mice were attached with mock Neurologgers and then fitted with Neurologger 2A devices [43]. Two electroencephalograph (EEG) and two electromyograph (EMG) channels for each mouse were recorded. Spike2 (version 7.10) was used to analyze the sleep (EEG/EMG) data. The sampling rate was set up to 200 Hz. EMG was filtered by band pass between 5 and 45 Hz. EEG frequency was high-pass filtered at 0.5 Hz. The sleep states (wake, W; nonrapid eye movement, NREM, N; rapid eye movement, REM, R) were scored automatically and manually corrected. For the power spectrum analysis of control and HDC-Casp3 mice, delta power (0.5-4 Hz) or theta power (4-8 Hz) was calculated during wakefulness, NREM sleep or REM sleep, respectively, during the 12 hr "lights on" (the "sleep" period) or 12 hr "lights off" (the "wake" period). To analyze the EEG power spectrum for NREM sleep, NREM sleep was assessed for 1 hr beginning with the first NREM bout that occurred after CNO injection, modafinil injection, or sleep deprivation. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) size for the power analysis was 512. EEG power was normalized to total power.

Locomotion activity: open-field assay

All experiments were performed during the "lights off" (active phase). The locomotion activity was detected in an activity test chamber (Med Associates, Inc.) with an ANY-maze video tracking system (Stoelting Co., United States) using a camera (FUJIFILM co).

Behavioral protocols and drug treatments

Clozapine-N-oxide (C0832, Sigma-Aldrich, dissolved in saline, 1 mg/kg) or saline was administered (i.p.) 30 min before the start of the behavioral observations (locomotion). METHOCEL A15C Methylcellulose (00053933, Dow, United States) was dissolved in saline (0.25%). Modafinil (1811, TOCRIS, dissolved in methylcellulose/saline, 100 mg/kg) or vehicle (methylcellulose/ saline) was administered i.p. For the chemogenetic experiments combined with modafinil, saline/vehicle, saline/modafinil, CNO/ vehicle, or CNO/modafinil were injected at the same time at the start of "lights on" sleep period of HDC-hM4Di mice.

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were anesthetized and transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (Thermo scientific) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma). Brains were removed and 35 µm thick coronal sections were cut. Free-floating sections were washed in PBS three times for 5 min, permeabilized in PBS plus 0.4% Triton X-100 for 30 min, blocked by incubation in PBS plus 5% normal goat serum (NGS) (Vector), 0.2% Triton X-100 for 1 hr, and incubated with primary antibody diluted in PBS plus 2% NGS overnight at 4°C in a shaker. Incubated slices were washed three times in PBS for 10 min and incubated for 2 hr with a 1:1000 dilution of a secondary antibody (Molecular Probes) in PBS and subsequently washed three times in PBS for 10 min (all at room temperature). Primary antibodies used were rat monoclonal mCherry (1:2000, Invitrogen) and rabbit polyclonal histidine decarboxylase (HDC) (1:1000, PROGEN Biotechnik GmbH). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG and Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rat IgG (1:1000, Invitrogen Molecular Probes, United Kingdom). Slices were mounted on slides, embedded in Mowiol mounting medium (with DAPI), cover-slipped, and analyzed using a Zeiss LSM 510 inverted confocal microscope (Facility for Imaging by Light Microscopy, FILM, Imperial College). Images were acquired using Z-scan.

Quantification and statistics

All statistical tests were performed in "Origin 2015" (Origin Lab). We used the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normality. We did not use statistical methods to predetermine sample sizes but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. The individual tests are given in the figure legends. All data are given as mean \pm SEM and stated in the figure legends. The data met the assumptions of the statistical tests used. All t-tests were two-tailed. We provided the t values for t-tests and the *F* values for ANOVA and the *p* value in the figure legends. HDC-Cre mice were assigned randomly to the experimental and control groups. HDC-Cre mice received saline, CNO, vehicle, or modafinil injections in random order. All experimental data analysis was blinded, including the analysis of EEG data and animal behavior.

Results

Selective chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons promotes NREM sleep

Previously we found that acute chemogenetic (metabotropic) activation of HA neurons promoted arousal [16]. Here we tested how selective acute chemogenetic-metabotropic inhibition of those neurons influenced sleep–wake states. For metabotropic inhibition of HA neurons, we bilaterally injected AAV-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry into the TMN area of HDC-ires-Cre mice to generate HDC-hM4Di mice (Figure 1A). The hM4Di-mCherry expressed in the TMN area, including ventral part of the VTA (VTM) and dorsal part of



Figure 1. Chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons induces sedation. (A) AAV-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry was injected bilaterally into the TMN area of HDC-ires-Cre mice to generate HDC-hM4Di mice. (B) Double-label immunohistochemistry from a series of coronal sections of the TMN area from an HDC-hM4Di mouse: mCherry (Red) and HDC (Green) confirm expression of the hM4Di-mCherry receptor in HA cells. Arrowheads indicate examples of double-labeled cells. The DAPI staining (purple) labels all the nuclei of cells in the section, indicating that most cells in the TMN are not HDC-positive. The hM4Di-mCherry receptor is extensively transported into the axons of HDC cells. Scale bars, 100 μ m. VTM = the ventral part of the tuberomammillary nucleus; DTM = the dorsal part of the tuberomammillary nucleus; 3V = 3rd ventricle. (C) CNO given to HDC-hM4Di mice reduced locomotion. CNO was given midway through the "lights-off" active period. Distance traveled in total 30 min and locomotion speed of HDC-hM4Di mice that received saline (n = 6 mice) or 1 mg/kg CNO (n = 6 mice) i.e. injections. (Distance traveled: t(5) = 3.7, paired t-test, p = 0.013; locomotion speed: repeated measures two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni-Holm post hoc test. F(1, 5) = 13.496; 5 min: t(25) = 2.69, p = 0.01; 10 min: t(25) = 4.06, p = 0.004; 15 min: t(25) = 2.71, p = 0.01; 20 min: t(25) = 3.15, p = 0.004; 25 min: t(25) = 2.78, p = 0.01; 30 min: t(25) = 2.60, p = 0.01; 10 min: t(25) = 4.06, p = 0.004; 15 min: t(25) = 2.71, p = 0.01; 20 min: t(25) = 3.15, p = 0.004; 25 min: t(25) = 2.71, p = 0.01; 20 min: t(25) = 3.15, p = 0.004; 25 min: t(25) = 2.78, p = 0.01; 30 min: t(25) = 2.11, p = 0.04. (D) CNO given to HDC-hM4Di mice evoked NREM sleep. CNO was given midway through the "lights-off" active period. An individual example of EMG, wake (W), NREM sleep (N), and REM (R) sleep, and EEG delta power spectrum of HDC-hM4Di mice that received saline or 1 mg/kg CNO i.p. injection. (E) CNO given to HDC-hM4Di mice evokes NREM sleep. The graph on th

the TMN (DTM) (Figure 1B). The Cre recombinase ensures that the expression of the cassette encoding the hM4Di-mCherry receptor is restricted to HA neurons. We confirmed this by double-staining sections from the TMN area using antisera against mCherry (which detects the hM4Di-mCherry receptor) and HDC, the unique marker of HA neurons (Figure 1B). The hM4Di-mCherry receptor was found abundantly expressed on axons and processes, as well as the soma of HDC-positive neurons (Figure 1B).

We then examined the consequences of chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons at the behavioral level. CNO (1 mg/kg)injected or saline-injected HDC-hM4Di mice (the same group of mice) were put into an open field to test for overt sedation. After CNO injection, HDC-hM4Di mice traveled less distance (46 ± 5 vs. $27 \pm 6 \text{ m}, p = 0.01$) and more slowly compared with saline-injected mice (Figure 1C). We then assessed how selectively inhibiting HA neurons influenced wakefulness and sleep using EEG/EMG analysis. For HDC-hM4Di mice, saline or CNO (1 mg/kg) was given in the middle of the "lights on" active period. CNO administration to these mice (same group of mice) during their active period significantly increased NREM sleep for about 5 hr compared with saline injected mice $(2.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs}. 3.2 \pm 0.06 \text{ hr}, p = 0.02)$ (Figure 1, D and E); however, the amount of REM sleep was the same between the two groups $(1.0 \pm 0.01 \text{ vs. } 1.1 \pm 0.06 \text{ hr}, p = 0.15)$ (Figure 1E). We further looked at the EEG power spectrum. CNO administration to HDC-hM4Di mice substantially increased EEG delta power (0.5-4 Hz) (32 ± 3.3% vs. 37 ± 3.34%, p = 0.001) and decreased EEG power of higher frequencies (8-30 Hz) (8-14 Hz: 21 ± 1.47% vs. 17 ± 0.82%, p = 0.04; 14–30 Hz: 12 ± 1.16% vs. 11 ± 1.01%, p = 0.03) of NREM sleep compared with saline injections (Figure 1F).

To examine the specificity of CNO's actions, we injected AAV-DIO-mCherry into the TMN of HDC-Cre mice. CNO injection into these HDC-mCherry mice had no effect on the amounts of sleep or wakefulness (Figure 1G) or EEG power spectrum (Figure 1H) compared with saline-injected mice. Note: we found that CNO given at higher doses of 5 or 1 mg/kg to a variety of other control mouse lines did not alter locomotion or change the amounts of sleep-wake compared with saline injections [44, 45].

Ablation of HA neurons does not affect the overt sleep-wake cycle but induces more fragmented wakefulness and NREM sleep

We next conducted chronic lesioning experiments to access the function of HA neurons in regulating sleep and wakefulness. To selectively lesion HA neurons, AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV was bilaterally delivered into the TMN area of adult HDC-ires-Cre mice to generate HDC-Casp3 mice (Figure 2A). For the control group, AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV was injected into the TMN area of Cre-negative littermates. Six weeks after the AAV injections, the efficiency of the lesion was assessed with immunocytochemistry

using an HDC antibody. Compared with AAV-injected Crenegative control animals, the number of HDC-positive cells was substantially reduced in HDC-Casp3 mice (Figure 2B). We mapped HDC expression in both control and HDC-Casp3 mice throughout the entire TMN area (Figure 2, B and C). Nearly 85% of the HDC-cells were killed in HDC-Casp3 animals (1097 \pm 75 vs.173 \pm 40, p = 7.4E-7) (Figure 2D).

We performed sleep-wake recordings of control and HDC-Casp3 mice over the 24 hr cycle. These recordings took place 6 weeks after the AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV injections. As found for hdc knockout mice [46], the 24 hr spontaneous sleep-wake pattern was similar between HDC-Casp3 mice and control mice (Figure 3, A-C). Over 24 hr, the amount of wakefulness, NREM, or REM sleep of HDC-Casp3 mice did not differ from control littermates (AAV injected Cre-negative mice). Although the amount of wakefulness was slightly decreased and the amount of NREM sleep slightly increased in HDC-Casp3 mice during the 12 hr "lights off" period, these changes did not reach significance (wake: 7.1 ± 0.25 vs. 6.4 ± 0.26 hr, p = 0.1; NREM: 4.5 ± 0.22 vs. 5.1 ± 0.22 hr, p = 0.08) (Figure 3, A and B). Of note, the HDC-Casp3 mice became aroused more slowly than control mice after the start of the "lights off" period (from time 14:00 to 17:00).

We looked into the sleep microarchitecture of the HDC-Casp3 mice. The episode duration of wakefulness and NREM sleep decreased in HDC-Casp3 mice, particularly during the "lights off" active period (Figure 3, D and E) (wake: 6.18 ± 0.74 vs. $3.7 \pm$ 0.35 min, p = 0.02; NREM: 3.98 ± 0.25 vs. 2.94 ± 0.2 min, p = 0.01). The REM sleep episode duration did not differ between HDC-Casp3 mice and control mice (1.21 \pm 0.09 vs. 1.13 \pm 0.06 min, p = 0.52) (Figure 3F). Looking in more detail at the sleep-wake transitions, the HDC-Casp3 mice had more NREM to wake transitions during both the "lights on" and "lights off" periods (Figure 3, F and G) (50 \pm 4 vs. 80 \pm 6, p = 0.0009) and more wake to NREM sleep transitions during the "lights off" period (Figure 2F) (64 \pm 5 vs. 97 \pm 7, p = 0.002). Finally, we assessed the EEG power spectrum of control and HDC-Casp3 mice during each vigilance state during the 12 hr "lights on" period or 12 hr "lights off" period. Both delta (0.5-4 Hz) and theta power (4-8 Hz) of control mice did not differ from HDC-Casp3 mice (Figure 4). The above results suggest that the loss of HA neurons has been compensated for-the mice were not overtly sleepy, there were no obvious effects on the amounts of sleep and wake in the spontaneous sleep-wake cycle, but HA neurons are needed for consolidating wakefulness, otherwise NREM sleep intrudes.

Modafinil promotes wakefulness partially through HA neurons

Using HDC-Casp3 mice, we next examined whether the wakepromoting effect of modafinil depends on the HA system. We

and the graph on the right the total time (5 hr) of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of HDC-hM4Di mice that had received saline (n = 5 mice) or CNO (n = 5 mice) injections. [Paired t-test. Wake: t(4) = 7.28, p = 0.0018; NREM: t(4) = -6.84, p = 0.002; REM: t(4) = -1.74, p = 0.155]. Shading indicates "lights off." (F) CNO given to HDC-hM4Di mice increases NREM delta power and decreases higher frequency powers. EEG power spectrum and power of different frequencies of NREM sleep of HDC-hM4Di mice that received saline or 1 mg/kg CNO i.p. injection. [Paired t-test. 0.5–4 Hz: t(4) = -7.61, p = 0.001; 4–8 Hz: t(4) = 0.45, p = 0.67; 8–14 Hz: t(4) = 2.92, p = 0.04; 14–30 Hz: t(4) = 3.22, p = 0.03]. (G) AAV-DIO-mCherry was injected bilaterally into the TMN area of HDC-cre mice to generate HDC-hM4Di mice. CNO given to HDC-mCherry mice did not change total time (3, 5, or 12 hr) of wake, NREM, and REM sleep compared with saline injection. [Repeated measures two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni–Holm post hot test. Wake: F(1, 4) = 0.066.3 hr: t(8) = 0.04, p = 0.065; 5 hr: t(8) = 0.03, p = 0.7; 12 hr: t(8) = 2.71, p = 0.78; NREM: F(1, 4) = 0.07. 3 hr: t(8) = 0.08, p = 0.93; 5 hr: t(8) = 0.44, p = 0.66; 12 hr: t(8) = 0.58, p = 0.57; REM: F(1, 4) = 0.0006. 3 hr: t(8) = 0.15, p = 0.87; 5 hr: t(8) = 0.08, p = 0.93; 12 hr: t(8) = 0.19, p = 0.84.] All error bars represent the sem. (H) CNO given to HDC-mCherry mice did not affect NREM delta power and higher frequency powers. EEG power spectrum and power of different frequencies of NREM sleep of HDC-MCherry mice that received saline or 1 mg/kg CNO i.p. injection. [Paired t-test. 0.5–4 Hz: t(4) = -1.64, p = 0.17; 4–8 Hz: t(4) = -0.62, p = 0.56; 8–14 Hz: t(4) = -1.31, p = 0.25; 14–30 Hz: t(4) = -1.06, p = 0.34.]



Figure 2. Selective genetic lesioning of HA neurons. (A) AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV was bilaterally injected into the TMN area of HDC-ires-Cre mice to generate HDC-Casp3 mice. To generate the controls, AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV was injected bilaterally into the TMN area of HDC-Cre-negative mice. (B) Casp3 efficiently kills HDC neurons. Six weeks after the AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV injections, immunohistochemistry was undertaken for HDC. Illustrative examples of HDC immunohistochemistry from a control mouse and an HDC-Casp3 mouse coronal section for the TMN area (three representative coronal sections on the rostral–caudal axis for HDC-immunostaining in the TMN are shown). The green dots indicate neuronal cell bodies stained for HDC, 3V, third ventricle. Scale bar, 200 μ m. (C) Mapping the extent of HDC cell lesioning. Line drawings of sections showing HDC-positive cells (green dots) from individual control mice (n = 4 mice, designated as "mouse 1" through to "mouse 4") and HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 4 mice, designated as "mouse 1" through to "mouse 4") along most of the rostral–caudal axis per section (bregma –1.94 to bregma –3). Few HDC-positive cells remained in the sections from the HDC-Casp3 mice. (D) Counts of HDC cell numbers along the rostral–caudal axis per section (bregma –1.94 to bregma –3) (left-hand graph) and total HDC cell numbers of control mice (n = 6 mice) and HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 6 mice) [t(10) = 10.86, unpaired t-test, p = 7.4E-7] (right-hand graph). All error bars represent the sem. The shaded envelopes on left-hand graph indicate sem.



Figure 3. Ablation of HA neurons does not affect the overt sleep–wake cycle but induces more fragmented wakefulness and NREM sleep. (A, B, C) Percentage and time of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 6 mice) and control mice (n = 9 mice) over the 24 hr cycle. [Unpaired t-test. Lights on: wake t(13) = 0.12, p = 0.9; NREM t(13) = -0.3, p = 0.76; REM t(13) = 0.19, p = 0.84; lights off: wake t(13) = 1.76, p = 0.1; NREM t(13) = -1.85, p = 0.08; REM t(13) = -0.83, p = 0.41]. (D, E, F) Episode duration of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 6 mice) and control mice (n = 9 mice) across the 24 hr cycle and "lights on" and "lights off" periods [Unpaired t-test. Lights on: wake t(13) = 1.74, p = 0.1; NREM t(13) = -0.46, p = 0.64; lights off: wake t(13) = 2.57, p = 0.02; NREM t(13) = 2.91, p = 0.01; REM t(13) = -0.64, p = 0.52.] (G, H) Vigilance state transitions of HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 6 mice) and control mice (n = 9 mice) during the "lights off" periods [Unpaired t-test. Lights off: wake to NREM t(13) = -3.69, p = 0.002; NREM t(13) = -4.26, p = 9.2E-4.] All error bars represent the sem.



Figure 4. Ablation of HA neurons does not affect the EEG power spectrum during the spontaneous sleep–wake cycle. (A, B) EEG delta (0.5-4 Hz) and theta (4-8 Hz) power of wakefulness, NREM sleep, or REM sleep of control and HDC-*Casp3* mice during the 12 hr "lights on" period (A) or the 12 hr "lights off" period (B). Two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni–Holm post hoc test. (Lights on: wake t = 0.65, p = 0.51; NREM t = 1.06, p = 0.29; REM t = -0.08, p = 0.93; lights off: wake t = 0.84, p = 0.4; NREM t = 0.63, p = 0.52; REM t = -0.16, p = 0.87.)

systemically gave modafinil or vehicle by i.p. injection to HDC-Casp3 mice and control mice. Control mice were Cre-negative littermates that received AAV AAV-DIO-taCasp3-TEV. We then compared modafinil's actions on wakefulness in control mice and HDC-Casp3 mice. In control mice, consistent with previous reports, modafinil induced continuous wakefulness for about 7 hr with 100% wakefulness in the first 3 hr (Figure 5, A and B); however, in HDC-Casp3 mice, modafinil increased wakefulness for only about 4 hr (Figure 5, C and D). During the first 8 hr, modafinil-treated HDC-Casp3 mice had less wakefulness (6.6 \pm 0.46 vs. 4.9 \pm 0.3 hr, p = 0.006), but more NREM sleep compared with modafinil-treated control mice (Figure 5E) (NREM: 1.2 ± 0.41 vs. 2.7 ± 0.27 hr, p = 0.005), but REM sleep did not alter (REM: 0.1 \pm 0.06 vs. 0.23 \pm 0.03 hr, p = 0.08). After vehicle injection, the sleep latency in control mice was identical to HDC-Casp3 mice (Figure 5F) (0.57 \pm 0.07 vs. 0.66 \pm 0.19 hr, p = 0.74; however, after modafinil injection, the sleep latency of HDC-Casp3 mice was reduced by about half compared with control mice $(6.7 \pm 0.9 \text{ vs. } 3.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ hr}, p = 0.01)$ (Figure 5F).

Using chemogenetic inhibition, we further examined whether the wake-promoting effect of modafinil depends on the HA system. Saline and modafinil, or CNO and modafinil, were injected into HDC-hM4Di mice. Consistent with the above results (Figure 5), modafinil induced continuous wakefulness for about 7 hr with nearly 100% wakefulness in the first 3 hr (Figure 6, A and B) in saline-injected HDC-hM4Di mice; however, in

CNO-injected HDC-hM4Di mice, modafinil increased wakefulness for only about 4 hr (Figure 6, C and D). During the first 8 hr, CNO/ modafinil-injected HDC-hM4Di mice had less wakefulness (6.2 \pm 0.23 vs. 4.1 \pm 0.36 hr, p = 0.005), but more NREM sleep compared with the saline/modafinil-treated control mice (Figure 4E) (1.6 \pm 0.21 vs. 3.4 \pm 0.32 hr, p = 0.005), and more REM sleep (0.1 \pm 0.03 vs. 0.36 \pm 0.04 hr, p = 0.006). After vehicle injection, the sleep latency in saline-injected mice was identical to CNO-injected HDC-hM4Di mice (Figure 4F) (0.65 \pm 0.2 vs. 0.43 \pm 0.09 hr, p = 0.78); however, after modafinil injection, the sleep latency of CNO-injected mice was reduced by about half compared with saline-injected mice (5 \pm 0.9 vs. 2.34 \pm 0.6 hr, p = 0.02) (Figure 6F). These results suggest that the wake-promoting effect of modafinil is partially due to activating the HA system.

Ablation of HA neurons does not affect sleep homeostasis after modafinil-induced wakefulness or sleep deprivation

Finally, we tested whether HA neurons are involved in regulating sleep homeostasis after a prolonged wakefulness by examining the delta power of NREM sleep during the starting period (1 hr) of recovery sleep. We first looked at the EEG power spectrum of control and HDC-Casp3 mice after vehicle or modafinil injection (Figure 7A). After modafinil injection, the delta power in both



Figure 5. Chronic lesioning of HA neurons partially attenuates modafinil-induced wakefulness. (A) An individual example of EMG, wake (W), NREM sleep (N), and REM (R) sleep, and EEG delta power of a control mouse that received vehicle or modafinil by i.p. injection. (B) Percentages of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of control mice (n = 6 mice) that received vehicle or modafinil i.p. injection. (C) An individual example of EMG, wake (W), NREM sleep (N), and REM (R) sleep, and EEG delta power of an HDC-Casp3 mouse that received vehicle or modafinil by i.p. injection. (D) Percentages of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 5 mice) that received vehicle or modafinil injections. (E) Time (8 hr) of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of control mice (n = 6 mice) and HDC-Casp3 mice (n = 5 mice) that received vehicle or modafinil injections (control modafinil) wake: F(1, 4) = 5.57, t(4) = 5.1, p = 0.006; NREM: F(1, 4) = 5.42, p = 0.005; REM: F(1, 4) = 1.11, t(4) = 2.3, p = 0.08. (F) Sleep latercy to NREM sleep of control mice (n = 5 mice) that received wehicle or modafinil injections. (F(1, 4) = 6.48, t(4) = 5.42, p = 0.005; REM: F(1, 4) = 7.56, control modafinil wate: F(1, 4) = 0.016; NREM: F(1, 4) = 6.48, t(4) = 5.42, p = 0.005; REM: F(1, 4) = 7.56, control modafinil wate: F(1, 4) = 0.016; NREM: F(1, 4) = 0.016; NREM: F(1, 4) = 0.016; II, 4)



Figure 6. Chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons partially attenuates modafinil-induced wakefulness. (A) An individual example of EMG, wake (W), NREM sleep (N), and REM (R) sleep, and EEG delta power of a control mouse that received saline and vehicle or saline and modafinil by i.p. injection. (B) Percentages of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of control mice (n = 5 mice) that received saline and vehicle or saline and modafinil i.p. injection. (C) An individual example of EMG, wake (W), NREM sleep (N), and REM (R) sleep, and EEG delta power of an HDC-hM4Di mouse that received CNO and vehicle or CNO and modafinil by i.p. injection. (D) Percentages of wake, NREM and REM sleep of HDC-hM4Di mice (n = 5 mice) that received CNO and vehicle or CNO and modafinil injections. (E) Time (8 hr) of wake, NREM, and REM sleep of HDC-hM4Di mice (n = 5 mice) and HDC-hM4Di cNO-injected mice (n = 5 mice) and HDC-hM4Di cNO-injected mice (n = 5 mice) and HDC-hM4Di CNO-injected mice (n = 5 mice) and HDC-hM4Di SNEM: F(1, 4) = 44.08, t(4) = 5.1, p = 0.006; NREM: F(1, 4) = 44.08, t(4) = 5.1, p = 0.006. (F) Sleep latency to NREM and modafinil vs. CNO and modafinil: vs. CNO and modafinil: v(4) = 3.56, p = 0.02; REPARED and HDC-hM4Di CNO-injected mice (n = 5 mice) that received vehicle or modafinil injections. [F(1, 4) = 10.23, saline and modafinil vs. CNO and modafinil vs. CNO and modafinil v(4) = 3.56, p = 0.02; REM: F(1, 4) = 44.08, t(4) = 5.1, p = 0.006.] (F) Sleep latency to NREM and HDC-hM4Di cNO-injected mice (n = 5 mice) that received vehicle or modafinil injections. [F(1, 4) = 10.23, saline and modafinil vs. CNO and modafi



Figure 7. Ablation of HA neurons does not affect sleep homeostasis after sleep deprivation or modafinil-induced wakefulness. (A, B) EEG power spectrum of NREM sleep (A) and total delta power (0.5-4 Hz) of NREM sleep (B) of control and HDC-Casp3 mice during the first hour of recovery sleep after modafinil-induced wakefulness. (C, D) EEG power spectrum of NREM sleep (A) and total delta power (0.5-4 Hz) of NREM sleep (B) of control and HDC-Casp3 mice during the first hour of recovery sleep after sleep deprivation. [Paired t-test. (B) Control: t(5) = -17.52, p = 0.00001; HDC-Casp3: t(5) = -4.62, p = 0.009; (D) control: t(4) = -7.6, p = 0.001; HDC-Casp3: t(3) = -15.41, p = 0.0005.]

control mice and HDC-Casp3 mice was increased during the first hour of recovery sleep compared with vehicle injection (Figure 7, A and B) (control: $29 \pm 3\%$ vs. $36 \pm 3\%$, p = 0.00001; HDC-Casp3: $30 \pm 3\%$ vs. $34 \pm 1\%$, p = 0.009). In addition, we performed sleep deprivation experiments to test the contribution of HA neurons to the homeostatic response within the natural sleep circuitry (Figure 7C).

Similar to the modafinil injections, both control mice and HDC-Casp3 mice had an increased EEG delta power during the first hour of recovery NREM sleep compared with their spontaneous baseline NREM sleep (Figure 7, C and D) (control: $31 \pm 1\%$ vs. $39 \pm 1\%$, p = 0.001; HDC-Casp3: $28 \pm 1\%$ vs. $36 \pm 1\%$, p = 0.0005). These results suggest that the HA system did not participate in the regulation of sleep homeostasis.

Discussion

Our work has shown several aspects of interest for the neuronal HA system. First, selective chemogenetic inhibition of HA neurons produced NREM sleep. But, by contrast, genetic lesioning of HA neurons chronically induced in the adult resembles the phenotype of *hdc* ko mice (i.e. mice without a functional *hdc* gene from conception) [46]. This suggests that a similar compensatory mechanism occurs in the adult as in the developing *hdc* knockout mice. Second, the HA system is not required for sleep homeostasis (defined as the increase in NREM delta power seen in the immediate part of NREM recovery sleep after sleep deprivation), as also concluded in an independent study [9]. Third, HA neurons are required for part of modafinil's actions in promoting wakefulness.

The results obtained with the acute pharmacogenetic and optogenetic manipulations of the HA system that induce NREM

sleep (see Introduction) contrast with the results of lesioning of the same cells. It is often noted that Von Economo identified flu-induced lesions in the human posterior hypothalamus, more specifically in the posterior wall of the third ventricle, as producing excessive somnolence (encephalitis lethargica) [47]. Hence, Von Economo suggested that the posterior hypothalamus contained a wake-promoting area [47] and so founded the modern concept that there are wake and sleep-promoting centers in the brain [1]. Ironically, this result (at least on the basis of lesioning the TMN) is not borne out in work on rodents. Killing of neurons in the rat TMN area using saporin-orexin ligand produced no effect on sleep amounts [48, 49]. Multiple cell types are lesioned in the TMN in this model, because orexinsaporin kills all neurons that express the orexin receptors, and this expression of the orexin receptor is not restricted to HA neurons, but also occurs in other TMN neurons.

A similar lack of effect of the HA system on sleep-wake was found from lesioning at the gene level. Hdc gene knockout mice, which lack the capability to synthesize HA, are not dramatically impaired in their sleep-wake profile [46]. The mice do have a more limited enthusiasm to investigate novel objects, possibly because they are less aroused, and they have more sleep-wake fragmentation. Additionally, hdc ko mice are more sleepy at the light-to-dark transition that marks the start of their active period. This lack of strong phenotype in hdc ko mice compared with the results obtained with acute experiments antagonizing or stimulating the HA system suggests some form of compensation in the hdc knockout mice, which perhaps occurs during brain development. A similar situation pertains to H1 receptor ko mice which have only mild increases in NREM sleep bouts, possibly because of an upregulated cholinergic system [50]. On the other hand, mice with a permanent upregulation of hdc gene expression also have sleep-wake fragmentation

[51]. Yet, mice with no H3 receptors show reduced wakefulness in nonstressful situations [52], contrasting with the wakepromoting effects of H3 inverse agonists. Perhaps these results show the extraordinary unpredictability of compensatory systems (sometimes there is compensation, sometimes not, sometimes partial) in the brain.

In any case, the HA-lesioned mice in our study reproduce the sleep-wake phenotype of hdc knockout mice to a remarkable degree [46]. HA-lesioned mice have more sleep-wake fragmentation, less arousal at the start of lights-off, the active period of the mice. Thus, HA-lesioned mice are likely to have the same compensatory mechanism present in the hdc ko mice, and this effect can emerge in the adult and not only during development. Previously, we found some HA neurons corelease GABA in the neocortex [16]. In the hdc ko mice, these neurons can still corelease GABA, whereas in our HA-lesioned mice, both GABA and HA release will be abolished. Yet the phenotypic effects of hdc gene and HA cell lesions are the same. Knockdown of the vesicular GABA transporter gene expression from HA neurons produced hyperactive animals. We are not sure why we do not get a larger phenotype in HA cell-lesioned mice compared with *hdc* ko mice. Given the unpredictability of compensations, perhaps the loss of GABA signaling from HA cells has been more compensated than loss of HA signaling.

In spite of compensations at the behavioral level, lesioning studies can be useful, whether cellular or genetic, to reveal drug targets. For example, DAT, D1, and D2 knockout mice are largely insensitive to modafinil [26, 29], and H3 knockout mice are insensitive to the wake-enhancing effects of H3 inverse agonists [37, 52]. Along similar lines, our cellular lesioning and inhibitory chemogenetic studies suggest that HA neurons contribute to the mechanism of modafinil-induced wakefulness. There is, however, likely to be complex positive feedback in the way that modafinil promotes wakefulness.

VTA dopamine neurons promote wakefulness, in part, via the nucleus accumbens [53, 54]. As modafinil antagonizes DAT and raises dopamine levels, and indeed requires DAT for its wake-promoting actions, it seems that raised dopamine in the nucleus accumbens is the obvious way that modafinil promotes wakefulness. But HA neurons also express dopamine receptors and can be excited by dopamine agonists [38]; HA neurons may also be able to synthesize and release dopamine [38]. Thus, the raised dopamine levels, sensed either by the HA soma in the TMN or by HA axons in, for example, neocortex or nucleus accumbens will promote HA release, and possibly even dopamine release. HA probably promotes direct wakefulness in the neocortex via postsynaptic H1 receptors, but also HA directly stimulates the firing of dopamine neurons in the VTA and other amine neurons [18], further promoting wakefulness. Consequently, there will be a number of wake-promoting pathways operating in parallel, some involving dopamine acting through, e.g. the nucleus accumbens on D2 receptors and others where the HA neurons are probably excited by dopamine and then the released HA produces wakefulness via many targets, e.g. the basal forebrain cholinergic neurons [9] or the neocortex or nucleus accumbens.

A further point is that in *hdc* ko mice, modafinil still produces as much wakefulness as in mice with an intact *hdc* gene [37]. Possible reasons for this discrepancy are that the earlier study used a lower dose of modafinil, or that dopamine or GABA released from the HA axons in the neocortex, rather than HA itself, mediate some of the effects of modafinil. Another interpretation is that HA neurons are needed for maintaining some of modafinil's actions, but not for initiating them. Background strains of mice could also influence the sensitivity to modafinil, as clearly there is considerable variation in the sensitivity of humans to the drug, which is likely to be based on genetic differences [23].

In conclusion, selective genetic lesioning of adult HA neurons shows their requirement for consolidating wakefulness and for sustaining some of the wake-promoting effects of modafinil. The effects on vigilance state produced by acute inhibition of HA neurons compared with those produced by their long-term removal are much larger.

Funding

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (joint Investigator Award, 107839/Z/15/Z [to N.P.F.] and 107841/Z/15/Z [to W.W.]), the UK Dementia Research Institute (to N.P.F. and W.W.), and a UK-China Scholarships for Excellence/China Scholarship scheme (to Y.M.).

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

References

- 1. Lin JS. Brain structures and mechanisms involved in the control of cortical activation and wakefulness, with emphasis on the posterior hypothalamus and histaminergic neurons. Sleep Med Rev. 2000;4(5):471–503.
- Haas H, et al. The role of histamine and the tuberomamillary nucleus in the nervous system. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4(2):121–130.
- 3. Yu X, et al. Sleep and sedative states induced by targeting the histamine and noradrenergic systems. Front Neural Circuits. 2018;12:4.
- Panula P, et al. The histaminergic network in the brain: basic organization and role in disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2013;14(7):472–487.
- 5. Scammell TE, et al. Histamine: neural circuits and new medications. Sleep. 2019. Feb 5. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsz031.
- Valdés JL, et al. The histaminergic tuberomammillary nucleus is critical for motivated arousal. Eur J Neurosci. 2010;31(11):2073–2085.
- Takahashi K, et al. Neuronal activity of histaminergic tuberomammillary neurons during wake-sleep states in the mouse. J Neurosci. 2006;26(40):10292–10298.
- Sakai K, et al. Sleep-waking discharge of ventral tuberomammillary neurons in wild-type and histidine decarboxylase knock-out mice. Front Behav Neurosci. 2010;4:53.
- Zant JC, et al. Histamine release in the basal forebrain mediates cortical activation through cholinergic neurons. J Neurosci. 2012;32(38):13244–13254.
- 10. Monnier M, et al. The waking action of histamine. Experientia. 1967;23(1):21–22.
- Nicholson AN, et al. Sedation and histamine H1-receptor antagonism: studies in man with the enantiomers of chlorpheniramine and dimethindene. Br J Pharmacol. 1991;104(1):270–276.
- Yeung WF, et al. Doxepin for insomnia: a systematic review of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Sleep Med Rev. 2015;19:75–83.

- Uygun DS, et al. Bottom-up versus top-down induction of sleep by zolpidem acting on histaminergic and neocortex neurons. J Neurosci. 2016;36(44):11171–11184.
- Chung S, et al. Identification of preoptic sleep neurons using retrograde labelling and gene profiling. Nature. 2017;545(7655):477–481.
- Fujita A, et al. Hypothalamic tuberomammillary nucleus neurons: electrophysiological diversity and essential role in arousal stability. J Neurosci. 2017;37(39):9574–9592.
- Yu X, et al. Wakefulness is governed by GABA and histamine cotransmission. Neuron. 2015;87(1):164–178.
- Lin JS, et al. An inverse agonist of the histamine H(3) receptor improves wakefulness in narcolepsy: studies in orexin-/- mice and patients. Neurobiol Dis. 2008;30(1):74–83.
- Flik G, et al. Interaction between brain histamine and serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine systems: in vivo microdialysis and electrophysiology study. J Mol Neurosci. 2015;56(2):320–328.
- Schwartz JC. The histamine H3 receptor: from discovery to clinical trials with pitolisant. Br J Pharmacol. 2011;163(4):713–721.
- Bastuji H, et al. Successful treatment of idiopathic hypersomnia and narcolepsy with modafinil. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 1988;12(5):695–700.
- Lin JS, et al. Role of catecholamines in the modafinil and amphetamine induced wakefulness, a comparative pharmacological study in the cat. Brain Res. 1992;591(2):319–326.
- Minzenberg MJ, et al. Modafinil: a review of neurochemical actions and effects on cognition. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008;33(7):1477–1502.
- Bodenmann S, et al. Pharmacogenetics of modafinil after sleep loss: catechol-O-methyltransferase genotype modulates waking functions but not recovery sleep. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;85(3):296–304.
- Scammell TE, et al. Modafinil: a novel stimulant for the treatment of narcolepsy. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 1998;7(1):99–112.
- d'Angelo LC, et al. Lifestyle use of drugs by healthy people for enhancing cognition, creativity, motivation and pleasure. Br J Pharmacol. 2017;174(19):3257–3267.
- 26. Wisor JP, et al. Dopaminergic role in stimulant-induced wakefulness. J Neurosci. 2001;21(5):1787–1794.
- Wisor J. Modafinil as a catecholaminergic agent: empirical evidence and unanswered questions. Front Neurol. 2013;4:139.
- Mignot E, et al. Modafinil binds to the dopamine uptake carrier site with low affinity. Sleep. 1994;17(5):436–437.
- Qu WM, et al. Dopaminergic D1 and D2 receptors are essential for the arousal effect of modafinil. J Neurosci. 2008;28(34):8462–8469.
- Ishizuka T, et al. Modafinil increases histamine release in the anterior hypothalamus of rats. Neurosci Lett. 2003;339(2):143–146.
- Willie JT, et al. Modafinil more effectively induces wakefulness in orexin-null mice than in wild-type littermates. *Neuroscience*. 2005;**130**(4):983–995.
- 32. Lin JS, et al. Potential brain neuronal targets for amphetamine-, methylphenidate-, and modafinil-induced wakefulness, evidenced by c-fos immunocytochemistry in the cat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996;**93**(24):14128–14133.
- Vetrivelan R, et al. Armodafinil-induced wakefulness in animals with ventrolateral preoptic lesions. Nat Sci Sleep. 2014;6:57–63.

- Qiu MH, et al. The role of nucleus accumbens core/shell in sleep-wake regulation and their involvement in modafinilinduced arousal. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e45471.
- Scammell TE, et al. Hypothalamic arousal regions are activated during modafinil-induced wakefulness. J Neurosci. 2000;20(22):8620–8628.
- Chemelli RM, et al. Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. Cell. 1999;98(4):437–451.
- Parmentier R, et al. The brain H3-receptor as a novel therapeutic target for vigilance and sleep-wake disorders. Biochem Pharmacol. 2007;73(8):1157–1171.
- Yanovsky Y, et al. L-Dopa activates histaminergic neurons. J Physiol. 2011;589(Pt 6):1349–1366.
- Zecharia AY, et al. GABAergic inhibition of histaminergic neurons regulates active waking but not the sleep-wake switch or propofol-induced loss of consciousness. J Neurosci. 2012;32(38):13062–13075.
- Krashes MJ, et al. Rapid, reversible activation of AgRP neurons drives feeding behavior in mice. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(4):1424–1428.
- Yang CF, et al. Sexually dimorphic neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus govern mating in both sexes and aggression in males. Cell. 2013;153(4):896–909.
- Klugmann M, et al. AAV-mediated hippocampal expression of short and long Homer 1 proteins differentially affect cognition and seizure activity in adult rats. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2005;28(2):347–360.
- Anisimov VN, et al. Reconstruction of vocal interactions in a group of small songbirds. Nat Methods. 2014;11(11):1135–1137.
- Harding EC, et al. A neuronal hub binding sleep initiation and body cooling in response to a warm external stimulus. Curr Biol. 2018;28(14):2263–2273.e4.
- Yu X, et al. GABA and glutamate neurons in the VTA regulate sleep and wakefulness. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(1):106–119.
- 46. Parmentier R, et al. Anatomical, physiological, and pharmacological characteristics of histidine decarboxylase knock-out mice: evidence for the role of brain histamine in behavioral and sleep-wake control. J Neurosci. 2002;22(17):7695–7711.
- Lutters B, et al. The centennial lesson of encephalitis lethargica. Neurology. 2018;90(12):563–567.
- Gerashchenko D, et al. Effects of lesions of the histaminergic tuberomammillary nucleus on spontaneous sleep in rats. Sleep. 2004;27(7):1275–1281.
- Schwartz MD, et al. Locus coeruleus and tuberomammillary nuclei ablations attenuate hypocretin/orexin antagonistmediated REM sleep. eNeuro. 2016 Mar 21;3(2). pii: ENEURO.0018-16.2016. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0018-16.2016
- Parmentier R, et al. Role of histamine H1-receptor on behavioral states and wake maintenance during deficiency of a brain activating system: a study using a knockout mouse model. Neuropharmacology. 2016;106:20–34.
- 51. Yu X, et al. Circadian factor BMAL1 in histaminergic neurons regulates sleep architecture. *Curr Biol.* 2014;**24**(23):2838–2844.
- Gondard E, et al. Enhanced histaminergic neurotransmission and sleep-wake alterations, a study in histamine H3-receptor knock-out mice. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2013;38(6):1015–1031.
- Eban-Rothschild A, et al. VTA dopaminergic neurons regulate ethologically relevant sleep-wake behaviors. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19(10):1356–1366.
- Oishi Y, et al. Activation of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons produces wakefulness through dopamine D2-like receptors in mice. Brain Struct Funct. 2017;222(6):2907–2915.