Supplementary Table S1. Synopsis of strain effects across labs and housing conditions.

	4-way ANOVA <sup>a</sup> all cases n=432 pooled data				Fisher's PLSD <sup>b</sup> enriched, n=216 pooled (split by lab)			Fisher's PLSD standard, n=216 pooled (split by lab)			Fisher's PLSD <sup>d</sup> all cases, n=432 enriched versus standard			Fisher's PLSD enriched, n=216 lab comparison		Fisher's PLSD standard, n=216 lab comparison	
	strain main effect		strain strain x enrichm. x lab		strain ranking <sup>c</sup>			strain ranking			reliability of strain ranking			reliablitiy of strain ranking		reliablitiy of strain ranking	
	p<	pω²	p<	p<	F1 -B6	F1 -D2	B6 -D2	F1 -B6	F1 -D2	B6 -D2		missed <sup>†</sup> Δ standard	rank conflicts <sup>9</sup>	$missed^h\Delta$	rank conflicts <sup>i</sup>	missed $\Delta$	rank conflicts
O-maze																	
% open arm entries	.0001		.0127	.0029	$\downarrow(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$	<b>↓(↓-↓)</b>	↑(↑↑ <b>-</b> )	↓(-↓-)	↓()	↑(- <b>↑-</b> )	0/3	0/3	0/3	2/9	0/9	4/9	0/9
total head dips	.0001		.0128	.0001			<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)				1/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	2/9	0/9
% protected head dips	.0014		.0415	ns			-()				0/3	2/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	4/9	0/9
fecal bolus count	.0001	.259	.0001	.0108			$\downarrow$ (- $\downarrow\downarrow$ )				1/3	0/3	0/3	2/9	1/9	1/9	0/9
path traveled	.0001	.207	.0006	ns	$\uparrow(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)$	$\uparrow(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)$	-(-↑-)	$\uparrow(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)$	$\uparrow(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)$	$\uparrow(\uparrow-\uparrow)$	1/3	0/3	0/3	2/9	0/9	1/9	0/9
Eald																	
open-field	.0001	.350		.0001	*/***	441.					1/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	1/9	2/9	0/9
center avoidance (1st 10min) center avoidance (habituation)	.0001		ns ns				<b>↑(</b> ↓↓↓)				0/3	2/3	0/3	0/9	0/9	2/9 4/9	0/9
path traveled (1st 10min)	.0009			ns .0002			↓()				0/3	0/3	0/3	4/9	0/9	2/9	0/9
path traveled (habituation)	.0001		ns ns	.0106			-(↑) ↑(↑-↑)				0/3	0/3	0/3	3/9	0/9	1/9	0/9
% time running/walking	.0001		ns	.0001							0/3	0/3	0/3	2/9	0/9	2/9	1/9
// time running/waiking	.0001	. 100	115	.0001	<b>↓(↓-↓)</b>	1(1-1)	-()	1(111)	1(1-1)	-(- ↓)	073	073	073	2/3	079	2/3	179
object exploration																	
horizontal object exploration	.0001	.388	.0470	.0014	<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)	1(1-1)	1(111)	<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)	1(111)	1(111)	0/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	0/9	0/9
vertical object exploration	.0001	.410	ns	.0001			1(111)				0/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	1/9	0/9
% path in object zone	.0001		.0118	.0097			1(111)				0/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	1/9	0/9
object exploration distance	.0001		ns	.0123			↑(↑↑↑)				0/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	1/9	0/9
corner distance	.0001	.499	ns	.0001			$\uparrow(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)$				0/3	0/3	0/3	1/9	0/9	0/9	0/9
water-maze																	
swim path length		.147	.0129	ns	$\downarrow(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$	$\downarrow(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$	↑(-↑-)	↓(↓↓-)	$\downarrow(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$	-(↓)	0/3	1/3	0/3	2/9	0/9	3/9	0/9
% time near wall	.0001		ns	.0001	<b>↓(↓↓-)</b>	↓(-↓↓)	↑(↑↑ <b>-</b> )	↓(↓↓-)	↓(↓)	↑(↑↑ <b>-</b> )	0/3	0/3	0/3	3/9	0/9	4/9	0/9
average swim speed			.0097	.0094	<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)	<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)	<b>↑(</b> ↑↑↑)	<b>↑(</b> ↑-↑)	<b>↑(</b> ↑-↑)	-()	0/3	1/3	0/3	0/9	0/9	2/9	0/9
probe: annulus crossings	.0055		ns	ns	-()	-()	-()	↑()	↑(↑)	↑(↑)	3/3	0/3	0/3	0/9	0/9	4/9	0/9
probe: target proximity	.0084	.018	ns	ns	-()	-(↓)	-(↓)	-()	-(↓)	-(↓)	0/3	0/3	0/3	4/9	0/9	4/9	0/9
avearge											11.7%	10.0%	0.0%	17.8%	1.1%	23.9%	0.6%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> between subject factors strain x housing x lab x replicate (only effects of interest are shown); first column: type-1 error p-values; second column for main effect: effect size as partial omega squared, the proportion of variance accounted for by strain if only this factor were in the design (range 0 to 1.0)

b post hoc analysis of strain factor in partial ANOVA model with between subject factors strain x lab x replicate, or strain x replicate, respectively

c established by pair-wise comparison of strains with data from all labs pooled or kept separately (arrows within parentheses: Lipp, Nitsch, Würbel lab), arrow up if strain listed at the top earned higher scores

d comparison of post hoc analyses of strain factor in enriched versus standard housing conditions

e failure under enriched housing conditions to differentiate two strains that were significantly different under standard housing conditions (in 3 strain combinations evaluated)

f failure under standard housing conditions to differentiate two strains that were significantly different under enriched housing conditions (in 3 strain combinations evaluated)

<sup>9</sup> strains showing significant but opposing differences under standard and enriched housing conditions (in 3 strain combinations evaluated)

h failure in one lab to differentiate two strains that were significantly different in another lab under the same housing conditions (in 3 strain combinations x 3 labs evaluated)

i strains showing significant but opposing differences in two labs under the same housing conditions (in 3 strain combinations x 3 labs evaluated)